OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



GEORGE R. MOSCONE

June 7, 1978

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein President, Board of Supervisors 235 City Hall San Francisco

Dear Dianne:

Passage yesterday of Proposition 13 presents San Francisco with a series of critical choices that must be made, by the executive and legislative branches of our government, working in close concert within a very short period of time.

The message and the consequences of the vote are clear:

We must reduce our dependence on property taxes. INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL

We must cut the cost of city government.

We must curtail the services we provide.

We must increase our efficiency.

We must make some hard choices.

STUDIES LIBRARY

JUN 22 1978

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Your staff and mine, working together, have come up with alternative budgets, identifying cuts that must be made at various levels of funding.

It is our joint obligation now to put politics aside and work together. For my part, I pledge complete cooperation.

We must provide services essential for the well-being of our people, and we must eliminate those that may be desirable, but that we can no longer afford.

City services will be cut. Make no mistake about that. There will be layoffs. We were not engaging in scare talk when we said these would be among the consequences of Proposition 13.

But I do not intend to preside over the death of San Francisco. And neither, I'm sure, do you.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2025 with funding from State of California and California State Library

I am confident that, working together, we will find creative solutions to our problems. We can maintain essential services at high levels if we exert strong leadership and choose our options wisely.

I am asking for your cooperation in declaring that a state of emergency exists. The City Attorney has prepared such a declaration, and I shall forward it to you today for your approval.

It is essential that we do this together in order to suspend charter requirements and to jointly cope with our fiscal emergency.

You adopted a new budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, and sent me an appropriation ordinance to fund it. The charter says I must sign that ordinance by June 12. Since our revenues are no longer adequate, I cannot sign it.

Upon our joint agreement on the declaration of an emergency, you and I together can revise the appropriations ordinance and bring it into balance.

I will also send you a revenue package, identifying money that can be used to supplement the basic budgets that our staffs have submitted.

While they have been working on budget alternatives, I have been meeting with city officials and I have identified resources that are available to help solve our problem.

I have been able to pinpoint some \$31.6-million--without additional taxation--that can be used to replace part of the \$134-million shortfall created by Jarvis-Gann. Some of this is "one-time-only" money, but it will at least buy us time this year while we identify additional economies, efficiencies, and revenue sources to meet our future requirements.

I also will propose during this coming year that we maintain even closer audits on all the functions of city government to make certain there is no element of waste.

Meanwhile, I suggest the following offsets. They have been verified as legal by the City Attorney and the City Controller.

- 1. Transfer general obligation bond retirement payments for Hetch Hetchy and the Water Department to the property tax, as specifically permitted under Jarvis-Gann, and use the money freed thereby for general fund expenditures. This bookkeeping transaction will not impose any additional charges on water and power customers. The total achieved is \$11.3-million.
- 2. Reduce the Controller's cash reserve fund in half to correspond to his reduced needs. Total: \$16-million.
- 3. Collect Wastewater payments not previously credited: \$4.3-million.

 TOTAL: \$31.6-million.

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein President, Board of Supervisors

In addition to these transfers, I propose two increases in existing taxes:

- 1. Increase the property transfer tax to 1.5 percent. Total: \$10-million.
- 2. Restore the parking tax to 25 percent. Total: \$4.2-million.

TOTAL: \$14.2-million.

GRAND TOTAL: \$45.8-million.

My budget proposal assumes that the proportionate division of property taxes shared by the city, the schools, and the community college district will remain constant.

If we receive any significant amount of state surplus funding, in time to use it in this year's budget, we will restore as many employees as possible to full work weeks—thereby providing equity for them, and increased services for the city.

It is my belief that the state should assume responsibility for the school deficit and should pick up the costs for courts and for welfare payments. I have urged this already on the Governor, the Speaker, the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, and other state officials, with every hope of success. But until these decisions are finally made, we cannot include uncertain state funding in our budget. Our budget must, as a matter of law and good administration, be balanced when it is adopted on July 1.

There is also a possibility that we can identify another \$10-million in offsets. If we do, I am suggesting a third level of funding, in accordance with the attached schedule, which shows how I would ask you to join with me in allocating our funds.

With these additions to the basic budgets that restore some of the \$141-million lost to the city in property taxes, I am confident we can cope in the year shead and make our plans for a better future.

You will note that I am proposing no new taxes, but I am suggesting increases in two existing taxes.

I did consider an earnings tax, which recently was declared constitutional by the California Supreme Court, as a way of permitting commuters to pay for essential and costly city services. On balance, I decided against it. I am convinced that it would be unfair to the renters of our city who do not profit from Proposition 13 and who, as a matter of equity, should not be twice victimized. They are being deprived of city services, and they should not also be asked to pay more taxes to compensate for Proposition 13's losses.

I concur fully with staff recommendations that salary standardization should be cancelled this year, both among employees for whom it has already been allocated, and for the policemen, firemen and Muni platform workers for whom it has not yet been processed.



By keeping pay levels at last year's rate, city workers will, in effect, be taking a pay cut. This year's dollar buys about 7 percent less than last year's dollar. That is a sacrifice all city employees must accept.

In addition, I agree with our staff suggestion that, in order to balance the budget, we must cut salary accounts by an average of 10-to-15 percent. We should give department heads the necessary administrative discretion to adjust their work forces through layoffs, work-sharing, and/or reduced work weeks.

With the additional funding that I propose, this is what we can accomplish:

RECREATION-CULTURE: The minimum budget assumed no support for Museums, the Library was funded at only 16 percent, and Recreation and Parks at 28 percent. I would propose that we restore funds for these activities to a 70 percent level by increasing their budgets by \$16.2-million.

PUBLIC PROTECTION: The minimum budget contemplated funding the Police and Fire Departments at 82 percent. I propose funding all of our law enforcement agencies at 90 percent, by adding \$12.5-million to the budget.

SOCIAL SERVICES: The minimum budget would mean the end of all General Assistance funding and some 500 children would have to be removed from foster homes. I propose adding \$1.2-million to that budget to maintain some 200 of the most clearly deserving youngsters in foster homes.

MUNICIPAL RAILWAY: The minimum budget would fund Muni at 61 percent of present service. This would require drastic cutbacks and mean unacceptable layoffs of personnel. I propose that Muni be funded at 81 percent of normal, by adding \$10.4-million in tax support. This, together with a moderate fare increase, would finance that level of operation.

HEALTH: The minimum budget closes all District Health Centers, funds Laguna Honda Hospital at only 72 percent, the General Hospital at 85 percent, and the Ambulance Service at 90 percent. It would mean closing all District Health Centers, Aid Stations, and Dental Clinics. I propose raising the level of funding at Laguna Honda to 90 percent. Certainly the elderly should not pay for Jarvis-Gann. I would also reopen one Dental Clinic. The additional cost would be \$2.8-million.

GENERAL GOVERNMENT: I propose adding some \$2.8-million to the general government budget to restore some of the programs eliminated in the minimum budget proposal.

It is my hope that, by working together, and avoiding political partisanship, we can succeed in minimizing layoffs, in maximizing the most efficient operation of city government, and in providing the essential services that make San Francisco the most desirable city in the all the world in which to live.

Sincenelu

GEORGE R, MOSCONE

mayor



X.L.L	DEPARTMENT .	78/79 BUDGET	WORST CASE	%	IST IEVEL ADD-ON (\$31,600,000) BUDGET %		2ND LEVEL ADD-ON (\$14,200,000) BUDGET %		3RD LEVEL ADD-ON (\$10,000,000) BUDGET %	
	RECREATION & CULTURE									
113 213 621 622 631 641 648 652 653 654 659 659	Art Commission Academy Sciences Fine Arts Asian Library P & A Yacht Harbor Rec & Park General Zoo Candlestick Open Space Other Camp Mather War Memorial	\$ 489,998 1,108,811 2,460,523 500,011 7,598,277 3,900,000 386,390 18,319,474 1,994,931 2,427,644 3,499,812 72,653 227,532 1,240,707	-0- -0- 250,000 100,000 1,236,046 -0- 386,390 5,172,104 100,000 2,427,644 -0- 72,653 227,532	28% 05% 100% -0- 100%	\$ 245,000 555,000 1,230,000 250,000 3,836,046 -0- 386,390 9,159,737 670,000 2,427,644 -0- 72,653 227,532 620,000	50% 50% 50% 50% 50% -0- 100% 100% 100% 50%	\$. 343,000 775,600 1,722,000 350,000 5,318,794 -0- 386,390 12,823,631 746,430 2,427,644 -0- 72,653 227,532 868,000	70% 70% 70% 70% 70% -0- 100% 100% -0- 100% 70%	\$ 343,000 775,600 1,722,000 350,000 5,318,794 -0- 386,390 15,571,552 746,430 2,427,644 -0- 72,653 227,532 868,000	70% 70% 70% 70% 70% -0- 100% 100% 100% 100%
	PUBLIC PROTECTION	. 1								
111 131 141 145 155 161 165 173 179 185 186 187/188	Adult Probation Emergency Service District Attoency Fire Dept. Municipal Court Police Dept. Public Defender Sheriff Superior Court Juvenile Court Juvenile Hall Log Cabin - H.V.	2,663,302 211,305,000 4,828,841 53,462,464 7,776,921 83,500,716 2,446,070 11,268,073 4,612,475 4,531,283 2,619,359 1,285,459	1,882,701 167,126 3,606,559 52,282,796 7,105,048 69,418,538 1,988,503 9,854,122 4,222,992 4,056,348 2,371,001 747,654	71% 75% 82% 92% 81% 81% 92% 90% 91% 58%	2,182,701 167',126' 3,806,559 54,577,719 7,105,048 71,810,615 1,988,503 9,854,122 4,222,992 4,056,348 2,451,001 747,654	82% 79% 80% 86% 86% 81% 87% 92% 94% 58%	2,396,697 167,126 4,345,957 57,116,200 7,105,048 75,150,645 2,201,463 10,141,266 4,222,992 4,056,348 2,451,001 747,654	90% 79% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 58%	2,396,697 167,126 4,345,957 57,116,200 7,105,048 75,150,645 2,201,463 10,141,266 4,222,992 4,056,348 2,451,001 747,654	90% 79% 90% 92% 90% 90% 92% 90% 94% 58%
	SOCIAL SERVICES							Set of		
168 169	Medi-Cal Social Services	22,803,672 137,794,378	20,200,000 128,795,264	89% 93%	20,200,000 130,045,264	89% 94%	20,200,000	89%	20,200,000	89% 95%



INDEX	DEPARTMENT	78/79 BUDGET	WORST CASE	%	1ST LEVEL ADI 31,600,000 BUDGET		2ND LEVEL ADD (\$14,200,00 BUDGET		3RD LEVEL ADD- (\$10,000,000 BUDGET	
735	MUNI Municipal Railway HEALTH	88,442,614	52,900,000	60%	+10,400,000AI + 8,700,000FA 72,000,000 (+ FARE INCE	ARES 81%	72,000,000 + FARE INCRE	81% ASE	72,000,000 + FARE INCRE	81% ASE
511 513 515 519 519 529 529 5335 535 545 545 555 555 555 555 555 55	Accounting EDP Administration Jail Medical Micro Bio. Lab Chemical Lab Maternal/Child Hlth. Diease Control Dental Bureau Food & Santiary Youth Guidance Health Centers Health Ed. Nursing Statitics T.B. V.D. Emergency Services Laguna Honda	326,256 1,447,480 1,793,919 2,067,458 515,557 255,859 1,159,768 239,376 504,244 1,767,531 245,156 4,623,786 101,334 72,102 202,417 564,594 939,469 3,580,707 22,742,442	298,704 1,302,732 1,269,398 1,925,959 428,040 114,447 1,048,663 97,079 -0- 1,564,464 122,578 300,000 62,447 -0- 172,052 467,155 774,515 1,911,790 16,419,080	82% 90% 71% 93% 83% 45% 90% 40% -0- 85% 62% -0- 85% 82% 53% 72%	327,257 1,302,732 1,581,823 1,979,959 428,040 114,447 1,048,663 97,079 150,000 1,564,464 202,598 300,000 62,447 -0- 172,052 467,155 774,515 1,911,790 1,642,500M/ 1,642,500M/	82% 90% 88% 96% 83% 40% 30% 89% 83% 62% 62% 85% 82% 53%	298,704 1,302,732 1,581,823 1,979,959 428,040 114,447 1,048,663 158,000 200,000 1,564,464 202,578 300,000 62,447 -0- 172,052 467,155 799,515 1,911,790 382,000M/ 382,000M/	82% 90% 88% 96% 45% 90% 40% 83% 62% 62% 85% 85% 85%	298,704 1,302,732 1,581,823 1,979,959 428,040 114,447 1,048,663 158,000 453,820 1,564,464 202,578 3,467,839 62,447 -0- 172,052 467,155 799,515 3,222,636	82% 90% 88% 96% 96% 90% 83% 75% 62% -0- 85% 85% 90%
557	S.F. General Hosp.	59,230,914	50,215,483	85%	19,704,080 50,215,483	87% 85%	20,468,198 50,215,483	90% 85%	20,468,198 1,546,170A/1	90%
561/583	CMHS GENERAL GOVERNMENT	32,008,116	29,720,593	93%	29,720,593	93%	29,720,593	93%	1,546,170REV 53,307,822 29,720,593	90% 93%
119 124 153 143	City Planning Controller FIRM Cty. Off. of Educ.	1,652,691 4,921,882 469,760 1,525,130	896,601 3,657,952 -0- 326,238	54% 74% -0- 21%	1,340,601 3,762,952 469,760 1,525,130	81% 76% 100%	1,340,601 3,762,952 469,760 1,525,130	81% 76% 100%	1,340,601 3,762,952 469,760 1,525,130	81% 76% 100%

-



INDEX	DEPARTMENT . 78	/79 BUDGET	WORST CASE	%	1ST LEVEL ADD- (\$31,600,000 BUDGET		2ND LEVEL ADD (\$14,200,00 BUDGET		3RD LEVEL ADD (\$10,000,00 BUDGET	-ON O) %
147 150 152 178 223 415	Human Rights Comm. Status of Women Commission on Aging Assessment Appeals Corner Building Inspection Other Gen. Government Dept. Remain UnChanged from "Worse Case" Budgets in Staff Repor		134,716 -0- 67,000 -0- 707,171 2,715,513	36% -0- 60% -0- 78% 78%	186,777 41,950 113,191 64,162 757,171 3,032,513	50% 50% 100% 50% 83% 87%	336,198 75,516 113,191 64,162 757,171 3,032,513	90% 90% 100% 50% 83% 87%	336,198 75,516 113,191 64,162 757,171 3,032,513	90% 90% 100% 50% 83% 87%

78 03844.2

U.C. BERKELEY LIBRARIES

INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENTAL STUDIES LIBRARY

OCT 28 2024

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA